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Introduction. The present paper studies the normal automorphisms of $n$-periodic products of finite cyclic groups. The operations of $n$-periodic products were constructed in the paper [1] for any odd number $n \geq 665$. They posses many properties of the classical operations of free and direct products of groups, including exactness, associativity and hereditary property for subgroups (see [2]). The papers [3-5] are devoted to the study of some other properties of $n$-periodic products of groups. First we give some definitions. Suppose $G$ is an arbitrary group and $\mathscr{N}=\mathscr{N}(G)$ is the set of all normal subgroups of $G$. Consider the set

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{N}}(G) \rightleftharpoons\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G) \mid \varphi(H)=H \text { for all } H \in \mathscr{N}\}
$$

Each automorphism from $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathscr{N}}(G)$ is called normal automorphism. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Inn}(G) \unlhd \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{N}}(G) \leq \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, where $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$ is the group of all inner automorphisms of $G$. It is clear that if $\varphi$ is a normal automorphism of group $G$, then it induces some automorphism of quotient group $G / N$.
A. Lyubotzky [6] proved that every normal automorphism of a free product of infinite cyclic groups is inner, i.e. the equality $\operatorname{Inn}(F)=\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{N}}(F)$ is true, where

$$
F=\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}
$$

The equality $\operatorname{Inn}(G)=\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{H}}(G)$ was proved by different authors for various groups $G$ (see [7-13]. For example, Minasyan and Osin in [12] proved, that if $G$ is a non-cyclic relatively hyperbolic group without non-trivial finite normal subgroups, then $\operatorname{Inn}(G)=$ Aut $_{\mathscr{L}}(G)$. In the paper [13] it is proved that all normal automorphisms of free Burnside group $B(m, n)$ of rank $m>1$ and odd period $n \geq 1003$ are

[^0]inner. Improving the result of [6], M.V. Neshchadim in [11] proved that any normal automorphism of a free product of nontrivial groups is inner. Note that the analogous statement is false in general for $n$-periodic products of groups. This was shown in [4].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $F=\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ be an $n$-periodic product of cyclic groups $\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ of odd order $r \geq 1003$, where $r$ divides $n$. Then each normal automorphism $\phi$ of the group $F$ is inner automorphism.

Some Quotient Groups of $F$ and Auxiliary Lemmas. In the proof of Theorem 1 we need some quotient groups of the group $F$. For simplicity we denote generators $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ by $a$ and $b$ respectively. Suppose $F(n, 0)$ is a free group with generators $a_{1}=a, a_{2}=b, a_{3} \ldots$. For any natural $\beta>0$ by $F(n, \beta)$ denote a group with the same generators and system of defining relations $\left\{a_{i}^{r}=1, i \in I\right\}$, and $\left\{A^{n}=1\right.$, where $A \not \equiv a_{i}$ for each $i \in I$ and $\left.A \in \bigcup_{i \leq \beta} \mathscr{E}_{i}\right\}$ (see [16, ch. VI, §2]. For $\alpha=0,1,2$ we denote $\Gamma_{\alpha} \rightleftharpoons F(n, \alpha)$. Suppose $\alpha>2$ and the groups $\Gamma_{\delta}$ are already defined for $\delta<\alpha$. Let $\Psi_{\alpha}$ be the set of all elementary periods $C$ of rank $\alpha-1$, satisfying the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \stackrel{\alpha-2}{=} A^{-d} Z^{-1} B^{-d} Z A^{d} Z^{-1} B^{d} Z \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are minimized elementary periods of some ranks $\gamma$ and $\beta$ respectively, $Z \in \mathscr{M}_{\alpha-2}, \gamma \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha-2, d=191$ (see [14, §1]). We choose the subset $\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha} \subset \Psi_{\alpha}$, so that each element $C \in \Psi_{\alpha}$ is conjugate to exactly one word $D$ in the group $\Gamma_{\alpha-2}$, satisfying $D \in \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}$ or $D^{-1} \in \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}$. We denote by $\Phi_{\alpha}$ the set of words for each period $C \in \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}$ and fixed elementary period $A$ of rank $\gamma \leq \alpha-2$ from (1) containing exactly two words

$$
\begin{align*}
& C^{200} A C^{200} A^{2} \cdots A^{r-1} C^{200} x_{c},  \tag{2}\\
& C^{300} A C^{300} A^{2} \cdots A^{r-1} C^{300} y_{c}, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the elements $x_{c}$ and $y_{c}$ are chosen so that one of them is equal to $a$ and the other one is equal to $b$. Obviously, for each $C$ there are two possibilities $(a, b)$ and $(b, a)$ for the choice of the pair $\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)$. If a concrete pair is not mentioned, then we will assume it is chosen arbitrarily. In the rest we will point out concrete values of some pairs $\left(x_{c}, y_{c}\right)$ (see the definition of $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ ).

We consider groups

$$
\Gamma_{\alpha} \rightleftharpoons\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots \mid a_{i}^{r}=1, R^{n}=1, F=1, R \in \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \mathscr{E}_{\beta}, R \not \equiv a_{i}, i \in I, F \in \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \Phi_{\beta}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\Gamma \rightleftharpoons\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots \mid a_{i}^{r}=1, R^{n}=1, F=1, R \in \bigcup_{\beta>0} \mathscr{E}_{\beta}, R \not \equiv a_{i}, i \in I, F \in \bigcup_{\beta \geq 3} \Phi_{\beta}\right\rangle
$$

By $\mathcal{K}$ we denote the class of all groups $\Gamma$, which are obtained by the above described method for different choices of the subset $\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha} \subset \Psi_{\alpha}$ and elements $x_{c}, y_{c}$. The
following lemmas can be proved exactly in the same way as the analogous statements of papers [13-15].

Lemma 1. Each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{K}$ is an infinite group, whose each two non-commuting elements generate the whole group $\Gamma$.

Lemman (see Lemma 13, [13]). If $X^{\delta} \stackrel{F}{=} T X^{\varepsilon} T^{-1}$, then the subgroup $\langle X, T\rangle_{F}$ is cyclic.

Lemma 3 (see Lemma 3, [15]). If $E$ is an elementary period of rank $\gamma$, $Z_{1}, Z_{2} \in \mathscr{M}_{\lambda} \cap \mathscr{A}_{\lambda+1}$ for some $\lambda \geq \gamma,\left[E^{d}, Z^{-1} E^{d} Z\right] \neq 1$ and the commutators $\left[E^{d}, Z^{-1} E^{d} Z\right]$ and $\left[E^{d}, Z^{\prime-1} E^{d} Z^{\prime}\right]$ are conjugate in $F$, then for some integers $u$ and $v$ either $Z^{\prime-1} E^{-d} Z^{\prime}=E^{u} Z^{-1} E^{-d} Z E^{v}$ or $Z^{\prime} E^{-d} Z^{\prime-1}=E^{u} Z^{-1} E^{d} Z E^{v}$ in $F$.

Lemma 4 (see Lemma 4, [15]). For $1 \leq|k| \leq(r-1) / 2$ each of the commutators

$$
\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right] \equiv a^{-k} b^{-9} a^{-k} b^{9} a^{k} b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}
$$

is minimized elementary period of rank 2.
To obtain the quotient groups that we will use later in the proof of Theorem 1, we will add some extra conditions on the set $\bar{\Psi}_{3}$ of groups from the class $\mathcal{K}$.

Obviously, $a$ is a minimized elementary period of rank 1 and $b^{9} \in \mathscr{M}_{1}$. According to Lemma 4 and definition of the set $\Psi_{3}$, we have $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right] \in \Psi_{3}$. Denote by $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ the set of all groups $\Gamma \in \mathcal{K}$, for which the following conditions hold:

1. the set $\bar{\Psi}_{3}$ in the definition of the group $\Gamma$ is chosen so that $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right] \in \bar{\Psi}_{3}$;
2. for period $C \rightleftharpoons\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right] \in \bar{\Psi}_{3}$ the elements $x_{c}$ and $y_{c}$, appearing in (2), (3) respectively, are chosen to be $x_{c}=b, y_{c}=a$;
3. for all the other periods $C \in \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}, C \stackrel{\alpha-2}{\neq}\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]$ the elements $x_{c}$ and $y_{c}$, appearing in (2) and (3) respectively, are chosen as $x_{c}=a, y_{c}=b$.

The following lemmas can be proved exactly the same way as the analogous statements of paper [13].

Lemman (see Lemma 7, [13]). For every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{200} a\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{200} a^{2} \cdots a^{(r-1)}\left[a, b^{-9} a b^{9}\right]^{200} b=1}  \tag{4}\\
& {\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{300} a\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{300} a^{2} \cdots a^{(r-1)}\left[a, b^{-9} a b^{9}\right]^{300} a=1} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
C^{200} A C^{200} A^{2} \cdots A^{n-1} C^{200} a=1, C^{300} A C^{300} A^{2} \cdots A^{r-1} C^{300} b=1
$$

for each period $C \in \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}$ and $C \stackrel{\alpha-2}{\neq}\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]$.
Lemma 6 (see Lemma 11, [13]). Let $a, b \in\left\{a_{i}\right\}, i \in I, \phi: \prod_{i \in I}{ }^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I}{ }^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ be a normal automorphism and let $\phi(Z)=b^{9}$. Then the commutator $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ is not a conjugate of $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{-1}$ in the group $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$.

Lemman (see Lemma 8, [13]). If in the group $\Gamma \in \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ the relation

$$
\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{s} a^{t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{s} a^{2 t} \cdots a^{(r-1) t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{s} a^{t}=1
$$

holds, where $1 \leq|k|,|t| \leq(r-1) / 2, k \equiv d \cdot t(\bmod r)$ and $q+2 \leq s \leq(r-1) / 2-2$, then $k= \pm d$ and $t= \pm 1$.

## Properties of Normal Automorphisms of $F$.

Lemmais. Let $F=\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ be a $n$-periodic product of cyclic groups $\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ of odd order $r \geq 1003$, where $r$ divides $n$. If $\phi$ is a normal automorphism of $F$, then $\phi\left(a_{i}\right)=u_{i} a_{i}^{s_{i}} u_{i}^{-1}$ for some $u_{i} \in F$, and some $s_{i}$ satisfying $\left(s_{i}, r\right)=1, i \in I$.

Proof. The operations of $n$-periodic product for odd $n \geq 665$ are exact. Therefore, the elements $a_{i}, i \in I$, have order $r$ in $F$. Hence, their automorphic images $\phi\left(a_{i}\right), i \in I$, are also of order $r$.

Since $\phi$ is normal automorphism, then we have the equalities

$$
N_{a_{i}}=\phi\left(N_{a_{i}}\right)=N_{\phi\left(a_{i}\right)}=N_{u a_{j} u^{-1}}=N_{a_{j}}
$$

where $N_{x}$ stands for the normal closure of element $x$. In the light of the obvious relation $a_{i} \in N_{a_{i}}$ we get $a_{i} \in N_{a_{j}}$. The sum of degrees of the letter $a_{i}$ in any word from the normal closure $N_{a_{j}}$ is equal to 0 modulo $r$. Indeed, any defining relation of group the $F$ has the form either $a_{i}^{r}$ or $A^{n}$, where $A \in F$ is an elementary period of some rank. Thus, the sum of degrees of occurrences of the letter $a_{i}$ in each word from the normal closure $N_{a_{j}}$ has the form $u r+v n$, which is a multiple of $r$ by the hypothesis of Lemma.

Assuming that $j \neq i$, we obtain that $a_{i}$ is equal to some element from the normal closure $N_{a_{j}}$, the sum of degrees of the letter $a_{i}$ in which is equal to 0 modulo $r$. Thus, we get an obvious contradiction. So, we can conclude that $j=i$. Consequently, we have proved that $\phi\left(a_{i}\right)=u a_{i}^{s} u^{-1}$ for some integer $s$. Applying the automorphism $\phi^{-1}$ to both sides of this equality, we get $a_{i}{ }^{s s_{1}}=a_{i}$ for some integer $s_{1}$. This implies that $s s_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod r)$.

The Lemma is proved.
Lemma 9. Let $a, b \in\left\{a_{i}\right\}, i \in I, \quad \phi: F \rightarrow F$ be a normal automorphism and let $\phi(a)=a^{t}, \phi(b)=u b^{t} u^{-1}$. Fix an element $Z$ such that $\phi(Z)=b^{9}$. Then the commutators $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ and $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]$ are conjugate in the group $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$.

Proof. We will prove the Lemma by contradiction. Assume that the commutators $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ and $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]$ are not conjugate in the group $F=\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$. Since $\phi(Z)=b^{9}$, we obtain $\phi\left(\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]\right)=\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]$ in $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$. Then, according to [16, ch. VI, §2, i. 4] and [16, ch. IV, §3, i. 12], one can assume that $Z \in \mathscr{M}_{\alpha} \cap \mathscr{A}_{\alpha+1}$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$. Choose a reduced form $G_{1}$ of the commutator $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ according to Lemma 3.2 of [14]. By the definition of reduced form we have $G_{1} \stackrel{0}{=} w\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right] w^{-1}$ for some $w \equiv a^{j}$. By Lemma 7.2 of [14] $G_{1}$ is an elementary period of some rank $\delta \geq 2$ for each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{K}$. Since, by assumption the
commutators $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ and $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]$ are not conjugate in the group $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$, using Lemma 11 [13], we obtain that the elements $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ and $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{ \pm 1}$ are not conjugate in the group $\Gamma_{1}$. Therefore, there exist groups from class $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that $G_{1} \in \bar{\Psi}_{\delta+1}$.

Let $\Gamma^{+}$be one of such groups. By Lemma 5, the relations (4), (5) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}^{200} a G_{1}^{200} a^{2} \cdots a^{(r-1)} G_{1}^{200} a=1  \tag{6}\\
& G_{1}^{300} a G_{1}^{300} a^{2} \cdots a^{(r-1)} G_{1}^{300} b=1 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

hold in the group $\Gamma^{+}$.
Since $G_{1} \stackrel{0}{=} a^{j}\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right] a^{-j}$, we get $\phi\left(G_{1}\right)^{\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle}=a^{j}\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right] a^{-j}$. From the definition of the group $\Gamma^{+}$, for some normal subgroup $N$ of the group $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ we have $\Gamma^{+}=\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle / N$. Applying $\phi$ to both sides of the relation (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a^{j t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right] a^{-j t}\right)^{200} a^{t}\left(a^{j t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right] a^{-j t}\right)^{200} \ldots \\
\ldots a^{(r-1) t}\left(a^{j t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right] a^{-j t}\right)^{200} a^{t} \in N
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{200} a^{t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{200} \cdots a^{(r-1) t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{200} a^{t} \in N
$$

that is

$$
\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{200} a^{t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{200} a^{2 t} \cdots a^{(r-1) t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{200} a^{t} \stackrel{\Gamma^{+}}{=} 1
$$

From here, by Lemma 7 we obtain that $k= \pm d$ and $t= \pm 1$.
In the case $t=1$ we have $\phi(a)=a$ and $\phi\left(G_{1}\right)=a^{j}\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right] a^{-j}$ in $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$. Applying $\phi$ to both sides of the relation (7), we obtain

$$
\left(a^{j}\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right] a^{-j}\right)^{300} a \cdots a^{(r-1)}\left(a^{j}\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right] a^{-j}\right)^{300} u^{-1} b u \in N .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{300} a\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{300} a^{2} \cdots a^{(r-1)}\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]^{300} a^{-j} u^{-1} b u a^{j} \stackrel{\Gamma^{+}}{=} 1
$$

Using the last equality and (5), we immediately deduce that the equality $a=a^{-j} u^{-1} b u a^{j}$ holds in the group $\Gamma^{+}$, that is $a \stackrel{\Gamma^{+}}{=} u^{-1} b u$. Thus, $\phi(a) \stackrel{\Gamma^{+}}{=} \phi(b)$ and hence $\phi\left(a^{-1} b\right) \in N$. Since $\phi(N)=N$, we obtain that $a^{-1} b \in N$, which implies that $\Gamma^{+}$is a finite cyclic group. This contradicts to infiniteness of $\Gamma$ (see Lemma 2). The case $t=-1$ can be disproved in a similar way, using the relations of the form (5).

Proposition 1. Suppose $a, b \in\left\{a_{i}\right\}, i \in I, \phi: \prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ is a normal automorphism satisfying $\phi(a)=a^{t}, \phi(b)=u b^{t} u^{-1}$. Let us fix an element $Z$ such that $\phi(Z)=b^{9}$. If the commutators $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ and $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]$ are conjugate in
the group $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$, then for some integers $p, s, l, r$ we have $Z=a^{p} b^{9} a^{s}, t=1$ and $u=b^{l} a^{r}$.

Proof. Since the commutators $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ and $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d}, b^{9}\right]$ are conjugate, in virtue of Lemma 3, we obtain that for some integers $r$ and $s$ either

$$
Z^{-1} a^{-d} Z \stackrel{\Pi_{i \in \epsilon}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle}{=} a^{r} b^{-9} a^{-d} b^{9} a^{s}
$$

or

$$
Z a^{-d} Z^{-1} \stackrel{\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left(a_{i}\right\rangle}{=} a^{r} b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9} a^{s} .
$$

Consider each of these cases:
A. Let $Z a^{-d} Z^{-1}=a^{r} b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9} a^{s}$ in $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$. Then $a^{s} Z a^{-d} Z^{-1} a^{-s}=a^{s+r} b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}$. If $s+r \not \equiv 0(\bmod r)$, then the word $a^{s+r} b^{-9} a^{-d} b^{9}$ is an elementary period of rank 2 . Thus, the elementary period $a^{s+r} b^{9} a^{-d} b^{-9}$ of rank 2 is conjugate to some power of $a$, which contradicts to Lemma 6.6 from [14]. If $s+r \equiv 0(\bmod r)$, we obtain that $a^{-d}$ and $a^{d}$ are conjugate, which contradicts Lemma 2. Therefore, the case A is impossible.
B. Let $Z^{-1} a^{-d} Z=a^{r} b^{-9} a^{-d} b^{9} a^{s}$ in $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$. Repeating the reasoning of the previous case, we get $s+r \equiv 0(\bmod r)$ and $a^{5} Z^{-1} a^{-d} Z a^{-s}=b^{-9} a^{-d} b^{9}$ in $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$. This means that the element $b^{9} a^{s} Z^{-1}$ belongs to the centralizer of the element $a^{-d}$ in the group $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$. Applying Theorem 5 of [1], we get $Z=a^{p} b^{9} a^{s}$ for some integer $p$. Next we prove that $u=b^{l} a^{r}$. Applying $\phi$ to both sides of the equality $Z=a^{p} b^{9} a^{s}$, we obtain $b^{9}=a^{p t} u^{-1} b^{9 t} u a^{s t}$. Now applying the homomorphism $\alpha: F \rightarrow F$ defined by formulae $\alpha(a)=a, \alpha(b)=1$ to both sides of the last equality, we get $a^{p t+s t}=1$. Since $(t, r)=1$, then $p \equiv-s(\bmod r)$. Thus, $a^{p} u^{-1}$ belongs to normalizer of the element $b^{9}$, which, according to Lemma 1 , implies $u=b^{l} a^{p}$ for some integer $l$. It remains to show that $t=1$. Note that from the equalities $b^{9}=a^{p t} u^{-1} b^{9 t} u a^{-p t}$ and $u=b^{l} a^{p t}$ we have $b^{9}=b^{9 t}$. Hence,

$$
\phi\left(\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]\right) \stackrel{B(m, n)}{=} a^{-p t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right] a^{p t}
$$

for some $k \equiv d \cdot t(\bmod r),(k, r)=1$ and $1 \leq|k| \leq(r-1) / 2$.
Suppose that $\Gamma$ is one of the groups from class $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma=F / N$. Applying the normal automorphism $\phi$ to the left part of the relation (5) and conjugating the obtained element by $a^{p t}$, we get

$$
\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{300} a^{t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{k} b^{9}\right]^{300} a^{2 t} \cdots a^{(r-1) t}\left[a^{k}, b^{-9} a^{-k} b^{9}\right]^{300}, a^{t} \in N .
$$

From here, by Lemma 7 , it follows that $k= \pm d$ and $t= \pm 1$. Comparing the equality $b^{9}=b^{9 t}$ with $t= \pm 1$, we deduce that $t=1$. The Lemma is proved.

The Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\phi: \prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ be a normal automorphism and $a, b \in\left\{a_{i}\right\}, i \in I$, are such elements that $\phi(a)=a^{t}, \phi(b)=u b^{t} u^{-1}$. Fix an element $Z$ with $\phi(Z)=b^{9}$. According to Lemma 6 , the commutators $\left[a^{d}, Z^{-1} a^{d} Z\right]$ and $\left[a^{d}, b^{-9} a^{d} b^{9}\right]$ are not conjugate in the group $\prod_{i \in I}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$.

Thus, according to Proposition 1 we get $Z=a^{p} b^{9} a^{s}, t=1$ and $u=b^{l} a^{k}$ for some integers $p, s, l, k$. This means that $\phi(a)=a$ and $\phi(b)=a^{k} b a^{-k}$. Suppose $a_{j}$ is one of the generators of the group $F$, different from $a$ and $b$. Arguing as above, we can state that $\phi\left(a_{j}\right)=a^{s} a_{j} a^{-s}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. It remains to prove that $k \equiv s(\bmod r)$. Let us multiply the automorphism $\phi$ with inner automorphism generated by the element $a^{-k}$. We obtain a new normal automorphism $\phi_{1}$, satisfying conditions $\phi_{1}(a)=a$, $\phi(b)=b$ and $\phi_{1}\left(a_{j}\right)=a^{s-k} a_{j} a^{k-s}$. Applying the Proposition 1 to the pair $b, a_{j}$, we obtain that for some integer $m$ the relation $a^{s-k} a_{j} a^{-(s-k)}=b^{m} a_{j} b^{-m}$ holds in the group $F$. Finally using this and Lemma 2, we obtain the equalities $a^{s-k}=b^{m}=a_{j}^{l}$ in $F$ for some integer $l$. But the latter is possible only if $s-k \equiv m \equiv l \equiv 0(\bmod r)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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