

ON A FAMILY OF POLYNOMIALS WITH RESPECT  
TO THE HAAR SYSTEM

S. L. GOGYAN \*

*Institute of Mathematics of the NAS of Republic of Armenia*

We construct a sequence of polynomials with respect to the Haar system and show that they form democratic bases in  $L^1(0, 1)$ .

**MSC2010:** Primary 42C05; Secondary 42C30.

**Keywords:** Haar polynomial, Haar system, democratic bases in  $L^1(0, 1)$ .

**Introduction.** Let  $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$  be a basis in a Banach space  $X$ . For a finite subset of natural numbers  $A$ ,  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ , denote

$$f_A = \sum_{i \in A} \psi_i.$$

In the case when  $X$  is a Hilbert space and  $\Psi$  is an orthonormal system one has  $\|f_A\| = \sqrt{|A|}$ , where  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of  $A$ . However, in the general case  $\|f_A\|$  depends on  $|A|$  as well as on the elements of  $A$ . In [1] it was introduced the term of democratic systems, namely:

**Definition.** A set of elements  $\Psi = \{\psi_n\}$  is called democratic system in  $X$ , if there exists a number  $C \geq 1$ , such that for any finity sets of integers  $A$  and  $B$  with  $|A| = |B|$  the following relation holds

$$\|f_A\| \leq C \|f_B\|.$$

Democratic systems are related to the Greedy Algorithms. For details we refer to [2]. It is known that the Haar system is a democratic basis in  $L^p(0, 1)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . However, in  $L^1(0, 1)$  the Haar system is not democratic system. In [3] it was characterized all subsets of the Haar system that are democratic system in  $L^1(0, 1)$ .

In this paper we construct a basis in  $L^1(0, 1)$  which is also democratic. Each element of that system is a polynomial with respect to the Haar system.

Let us remind the definition of the Haar system normalized in  $L^1(0, 1)$ . Values of Haar functions at the points of discontinuity are not important for us, so we will

\* E-mail: gogyan@instmath.sci.am

change those values for the simplicity of definition. We denote  $\Delta_1 = [0, 1]$ . A dyadic interval  $\left[ \frac{j-1}{2^i}, \frac{j}{2^i} \right); j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , will be denoted by  $\Delta_i^{(j)} = \Delta_{2^i+j}$ . The left half of  $\left[ \frac{j-1}{2^i}, \frac{j}{2^i} \right)$  is the dyadic interval  $\left[ \frac{2j-2}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{2j-1}{2^{i+1}} \right)$  and the right half is  $\left[ \frac{2j-1}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{2j}{2^{i+1}} \right)$ . Therefore, for any  $n \geq 2$  we have

$$\Delta_n = \Delta_{2n-1} \cup \Delta_{2n}.$$

The Haar function associated with  $\Delta_1$  is the function  $h_1 = h_{\Delta_1} \equiv 1$  and the Haar function associated with  $\Delta_n, n = 2^i + j, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is

$$h_n(x) = h_i^{(j)}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^i & \text{for } x \in \Delta_{2n-1}; \\ -2^i & \text{for } x \in \Delta_{2n}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that

$$\int_0^1 h_n(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 |h_n(t)| dt = 1.$$

The Haar system is the set of functions  $\mathbb{H} = \{h_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ . The coefficients of expansion  $c_n(f, \mathbb{H})$  are determined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} c_{[0,1]}(f, \mathbb{H}) &= c_1(f, \mathbb{H}) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx, \\ c_{\Delta_n}(f, \mathbb{H}) &= c_n(f, \mathbb{H}) = \int_{\Delta_{2n-1}} f(x) dx - \int_{\Delta_{2n}} f(x) dx, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Denote  $\psi_i = h_i^{(2)}$  and let  $\Phi = \{\phi_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a system of functions consisting of the Haar functions  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \{h_i^{(2)}\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$  with the same order as in  $\mathbb{H}$  (if  $\phi_{m_1} = h_{n_1}, \phi_{m_2} = h_{n_2}$  and  $m_1 < m_2$ , then  $n_1 < n_2$ ).

Let  $\{M_i\}$  be a sequence of natural numbers. Denote  $N_0 = 0$  and inductively define a sequence  $N_i = N_{i-1} + M_i$ . For a natural number  $i$  denote

$$f_{(i,0)} = \phi_i - \frac{1}{M_i + 1} \sum_{k=N_{i-1}+1}^{N_i} \psi_k,$$

and if  $1 \leq j \leq M_i$ , then

$$f_{(i,j)} = f_{(i,0)} + \psi_{N_{i-1}+j}.$$

In this paper we prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** For any sequence of natural numbers  $\{M_i\}$  the set of functions  $\left\{ \left\{ f_{(i,j)} \right\}_{j=0}^{M_i} \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a basis in  $L^1(0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{M_i\}$  be a sequence of increasing natural numbers with  $M_{i+1} \geq 2M_i$ . Then the set of functions  $\left\{ \left\{ f_{(i,j)} \right\}_{j=0}^{M_i} \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a democratic basis in  $L^1(0,1)$ .

For the proof we adopt ideas in [4] and [5].

**Proofs of the Theorems.** Let  $e_i = \{\delta_{ij}\}$  and let  $M_i, N_i, \phi_i$  and  $\psi_i$  are defined as above. Denote  $\sigma_n = [N_{n-1} + 1, N_n] \cap \mathbb{N}$ . One has  $|\sigma_n| = M_n$ . For a sequence  $a \in l_1$  denote

$$m_n(a) = \sum_{i \in \sigma_n} a_i$$

and define operators  $\mathcal{P}_n : l_1 \rightarrow l_1$  by

$$\mathcal{P}_n(a) = \frac{m_n(a)}{M_n} \sum_{i \in \sigma_n} e_i.$$

Finally, define

$$\mathcal{P}a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n a, \quad \mathcal{Q}a = a - \mathcal{P}a.$$

Its obvious that  $\|\mathcal{P}\| = 1$ . For  $a \in c_{00}$  define

$$\|a\|_Y = \|\mathcal{Q}a\|_{l_1} + \left\| \sum_n m_n(a) \phi_n \right\|_{L^1},$$

where  $c_{00}$  is the set of sequences with finite number of non zero terms. Completing this norm, we obtain a sequence space  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.** The basic sequence  $\{e_i\}$  is a Schauder basis for  $Y$ . Additionally, if  $M_{i+1} \geq 2M_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for any finite subset  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  one has

$$\frac{2|A|}{3} \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right\|_Y \leq 3|A|. \quad (2)$$

**Proof.** Subspaces  $\{e_i : i \in \sigma_n\}$  form a Schauder decomposition of  $Y$ . Since  $\|\mathcal{P}\| = 1$ , we have  $\|\mathcal{Q}\| \leq 2$  and so each sequence  $\{e_i : i \in \sigma_n\}$  in  $Y$  is 3 isomorphic to  $\{e_i : i \in \sigma_n\}$  as a subspace in  $l_1$ . Thus  $\{e_i\}$  is a basis in  $Y$ .

Now let us prove inequality (2).

The upper estimate follows from the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right\|_Y &\leq \left\| \mathcal{P} \left( \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right) \right\|_{l_1} + \left\| \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right\|_{l_1} + \left\| \sum_n m_n \left( \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right) \phi_n \right\|_{L^1} \leq \\ &\leq (1 + \|\mathcal{P}\|) |A| + \sum_n \left| m_n \left( \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right) \right| \leq 3|A|. \end{aligned}$$

To proceed the lower estimate, let us choose the biggest  $k$  such that  $|A \cap \sigma_k| \geq \frac{M_k}{2}$ . If such  $k$  does not exist, then we put  $k = 0$ . According to the monotonicity property of the Haar system, we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right\|_Y \geq \left\| \sum_n m_n \left( \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right) \phi_n \right\|_{L^1} \geq \left| m_k \left( \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right) \right| \geq \frac{M_k}{2}. \quad (3)$$

To complete the Proof of Theorem, we will need the following simple lemma immediately obtained from the definition of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**L e m m a .** Let  $B \subset \sigma_n$  and  $|B| < \frac{|\sigma_n|}{2}$  for some natural  $n$ . Then

$$\left\| Q \left( \sum_{i \in B} e_i \right) \right\|_{l_1} \geq \frac{|B|}{2}.$$

Denote  $D = \{i \in A : i \geq N_k\}$ . According to the definition of  $k$  and Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| Q \left( \sum_{i \in D} e_i \right) \right\|_{l_1} &= \sum_{n > k} \left\| Q \left( \sum_{i \in D \cap \sigma_n} e_i \right) \right\|_{l_1} \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{n > k} \frac{|D \cap \sigma_n|}{2} = \frac{|D|}{2} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{|A| - 2|\sigma_k|}{2} = \frac{|A| - 2M_k}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In the last estimate we use the relation  $N_k = M_1 + \dots + M_k \leq 2M_k$ .  $\square$

Combining (3) and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right\|_Y &= \left\| Q \left( \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right) \right\|_{l_1} + \left\| \sum_n m_n \left( \sum_{i \in A} e_i \right) \phi_n \right\|_{L^1} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{M_k}{2} + \max \left( 0, \frac{|A| - 2M_k}{2} \right) \geq \frac{2|A|}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

It is shown in [4], that there is an isomorphic operator  $R : Y \rightarrow L^1(0, 1)$  mapping the basic sequence  $e_i$  to the functions  $f_{(i,j)}$ . So, Theorems 1 and 2 are proved.

Received 29.10.2015

#### REFERENCES

1. **Konyagin S., Temlyakov V.N.** A Remark on Greedy Approximation in Banach Spaces. // East J. Approx., 1999, v. 5, p. 365–379.
2. **Temlyakov V.N.** Greedy Approximation. // Acta Numerica, 2008, v. 17, p. 235–409.
3. **Gogyan S.** Greedy Algorithm with Regard to Haar Subsystems. // East J. Approx., 2005, v. 11, p. 221–236.
4. **Gogyan S.** An Example of Almost Greedy Basis in  $L^1(0, 1)$ . // Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2010, v. 138, p. 1425–1432.
5. **Dilworth S.J., Kalton N.J., Kutzarova D.** On the Existence of Almost Greedy Bases in Banach Spaces. // Studia Mathematica, 2003, v. 159, p. 67–101.