

MOORE–PENROSE INVERSE OF BIDIAGONAL MATRICES. III

Yu. R. HAKOPIAN<sup>1</sup>\*, S. S. ALEKSANYAN<sup>2\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Chair of Numerical Analysis and Mathematical Modeling YSU, Armenia*

<sup>2</sup>*Chair of Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling RAU, Armenia*

The present paper is a direct continuation of the papers [1, 2]. We obtain intermediate results, which will be used in the next final fourth part of this study, where a definitive solution to the Moore–Penrose inversion problem for singular upper bidiagonal matrices is given.

**MSC2010:** Primary 15A09; Secondary 65F20.

**Keywords:** generalized inverse, Moore–Penrose inverse, bidiagonal matrix.

**Introduction.** In this paper we continue the study started in our previous papers [1, 2]. In [2] we consider the Moore–Penrose inversion problem for singular upper bidiagonal matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & b_1 & & & \\ & d_2 & b_2 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & d_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \\ & & & & d_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

with any arrangement of one or more zeros on the main diagonal and under assumption  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \neq 0$ . To solve the problem in [2] we carried out some preliminary constructions and calculations. Recall the main steps of the proposed approach. First we have represented the matrix (1) in the block form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & B_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & A_{m-1} & B_{m-1} \\ & & & & A_m \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

with diagonal blocks  $A_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , of the size  $n_k \times n_k$  and over-diagonal blocks  $B_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ , of the size  $n_k \times n_{k+1}$ , where  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m = n$ .

\* E-mail: yuri.hakopian@ysu.am

\*\* E-mail: alexosarm@gmail.com



Thus, as it was mentioned in [2], our next task is to compute the model matrices

$$Z = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} L(\varepsilon)^{-1} A^T \quad (10)$$

and

$$H = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} L(\varepsilon)^{-1} B^T, \quad (11)$$

where  $L(\varepsilon)$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$  are specified in (8) and (9). In this connection we will separately consider the cases A, B and C outlined in [2] (**Inversion of a Model Matrix  $L(\varepsilon)$** ).

**Computation of the Model Matrices  $Z$  and  $H$ .**

**Case A.** Remind that this case provides  $n \geq 1$  and  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n \neq 0$ .

If  $n = 1$ , then the matrices  $Z$  and  $H$  have a simple view:

$$Z = \left[ \frac{d_1}{d_1^2 + \Delta^2} \right]_{1 \times 1}, \quad H = \left[ 0 \dots 0 \frac{\Delta}{d_1^2 + \Delta^2} \right]_{1 \times 1}. \quad (12)$$

It can be easily obtained from the equalities (10) and (11).

The case  $n \geq 2$  is more complicated. Let us start with the computation of the matrix  $Z = [z_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ . For this purpose consider the matrix

$$L(\varepsilon)^{-1} A^T \equiv Y(\varepsilon) = [y_{ij}(\varepsilon)]_{n \times n}. \quad (13)$$

According to the definition (10) of the matrix  $Z$ , we have

$$z_{ij} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} y_{ij}(\varepsilon), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (14)$$

As it follows from (13), for indices  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$  the entries  $y_{ij}(\varepsilon)$  of the matrix  $Y(\varepsilon)$  are calculated by the rule

$$y_{ij}(\varepsilon) = x_{ij} d_j + x_{i, j+1} b_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (15)$$

For a fixed index  $j$  in the range  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$  let us separately consider the cases  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$  and  $i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n$ .

- **Case  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$ .**

Using the expressions for the entries  $x_{ij}$  of the matrix  $L(\varepsilon)^{-1}$  (see formula (43) in [2]), from (15) we obtain

$$y_{ij}(\varepsilon) = t v_i (\mu_j d_j + \mu_{j+1} b_j). \quad (16)$$

Then, using the representations of the quantities  $\mu_i$  (see (27) in [2]), we get

$$\mu_j d_j + \mu_{j+1} b_j = (\overset{\circ}{\mu}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{j+1} b_j) + O(\varepsilon). \quad (17)$$

Substituting the expression (17) as well as the representations of the quantities  $v_i$  and  $t$  (see (33) and (39) in [2]) into the right hand side of the equality (16), we obtain

$$y_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \frac{(\overset{\circ}{v}_i + O(\varepsilon)) (\overset{\circ}{\mu}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{j+1} b_j) + O(\varepsilon)}{t + O(\varepsilon)}.$$

Then, calculating the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$ , according to (14), we find that

$$z_{ij} = \frac{\overset{\circ}{v}_i (\overset{\circ}{\mu}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{j+1} b_j)}{\overset{\circ}{t}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, j. \quad (18)$$

In the [2](**Case B**) we obtained the expression (40) for the quantity  $\overset{\circ}{t}$ . Therefore, we get

$$z_{ij} = \frac{\overset{\circ}{v}_i (\overset{\circ}{\mu}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{j+1} b_j)}{d_n^2 \overset{\circ}{v}_n + \Delta^2 \alpha_1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, j. \quad (19)$$

To derive the closed form expressions for the entries of the matrix  $Z$ , let us transform the right hand side of the equality (19). First consider the sum  $\overset{\circ}{\mu}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{j+1} b_j$ . Using the closed form expression for the quantity  $\overset{\circ}{\mu}_i$  (see formula (29) in [2]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{\mu}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{j+1} b_j &= (-1)^{n-j} \left[ d_j \prod_{s=j}^{n-1} r_s - b_j \prod_{s=j+1}^{n-1} r_s \right] \\ &+ (-1)^{n-j} d_n^2 \left[ d_j \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k^2} \binom{k-1}{s=j} \left( \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right. \\ &\left. - b_j \sum_{k=j+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k^2} \binom{k-1}{s=j+1} \left( \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] \equiv J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It can be readily shown that  $J_1 = 0$ . The addend  $J_2$  is transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= (-1)^{n-j} d_n^2 d_j \left[ \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k^2} \binom{k-1}{s=j} \left( \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right. \\ &\left. - \sum_{k=j+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k^2} \binom{k-1}{s=j} \left( \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] \\ &= (-1)^{n-j} d_n^2 d_j \cdot \frac{1}{d_j^2} \prod_{s=j}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} = (-1)^{n-j} \frac{d_n^2}{d_j} \prod_{s=j}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Thus, from (20) and (21) we get

$$\overset{\circ}{\mu}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{j+1} b_j = (-1)^{n-j} \frac{d_n^2}{d_j} \prod_{s=j}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s}. \quad (22)$$

Further, using the expressions for the quantities  $\alpha_i$  and  $\overset{\circ}{v}_i$  (see formulae (31) and (35) from [2]), we can write the sum  $d_n^2 \overset{\circ}{v}_n + \Delta^2 \alpha_1$  in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^2 \overset{\circ}{v}_n + \Delta^2 \alpha_1 &= \\ &(-1)^{n-1} d_n^2 \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} + \Delta^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_k^2} \binom{k}{s=1} \left( \prod_{s=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] + (-1)^{n-1} \Delta^2 \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} r_s. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Finally, if we replace the expression of the quantity  $\overset{\circ}{v}_i$  (see formula (35) in [2]) as well as the expressions (22) and (23) into the equality (19), for the values of indices  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$ , we get

$$z_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{r_s} + \Delta^2 \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{b_k^2} \binom{k}{s=1} \left( \prod_{s=k+1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{d_n^2}{d_j} \prod_{s=j}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s}}{d_n^2 \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} + \Delta^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_k^2} \binom{k}{s=1} \left( \prod_{s=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] + \Delta^2 \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} r_s}. \quad (24)$$

- **Case**  $i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n$ .

Using the expressions for the entries  $x_{ij}$  of the matrix  $L(\varepsilon)^{-1}$  (formula (42) in [2]), from (15) we have

$$y_{ij}(\varepsilon) = t\mu_i(v_j d_j + v_{j+1} b_j). \quad (25)$$

Then, having the representations of the quantities  $v_i$  (see (33) in [2]), we get

$$v_j d_j + v_{j+1} b_j = (\overset{\circ}{v}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{v}_{j+1} b_j) + O(\varepsilon). \quad (26)$$

Substituting the expression (26) as well as the representations of the quantities  $\mu_i$  and  $t$  (see (27) and (39) in [2]) into the right hand side of the equality (25), we obtain

$$y_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \frac{(\overset{\circ}{\mu}_i + O(\varepsilon))(\overset{\circ}{v}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{v}_{j+1} b_j) + O(\varepsilon)}{\overset{\circ}{t} + O(\varepsilon)}.$$

Calculating the limit in the last equality as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$ , according to (14), we find

$$z_{ij} = \frac{\overset{\circ}{\mu}_i (\overset{\circ}{v}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{v}_{j+1} b_j)}{\overset{\circ}{t}}, \quad i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n, \quad (27)$$

or, by analogy with (19),  $z_{ij} = \frac{\overset{\circ}{\mu}_i (\overset{\circ}{v}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{v}_{j+1} b_j)}{d_n^2 \overset{\circ}{v}_n + \Delta^2 \alpha_1}$ ,  $i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n$ .

From here, performing calculations similar to those that led to the formula (24), we obtain

$$z_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j+1} \left[ \prod_{s=i}^{n-1} r_s + d_n^2 \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k^2} \left( \prod_{s=i}^{k-1} r_s \right) \left( \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{\Delta^2}{d_j} \prod_{s=1}^{j-1} r_s}{d_n^2 \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} + \Delta^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_k^2} \left( \prod_{s=1}^k r_s \right) \left( \prod_{s=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] + \Delta^2 \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} r_s} \quad (28)$$

for the of indices  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$  and  $i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n$ .

So it remains to deduce expressions for the entries of the last column of the matrix  $Z$ . As follows from (13), the entries  $y_{in}(\varepsilon)$  of the matrix  $Y(\varepsilon)$  are calculated by the rule  $y_{in}(\varepsilon) = x_{in} d_n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . According to the formula (43), from [2] we have  $x_{in} = \mu_n v_i t$ , and since  $\mu_n = 1$  (see (8) in [1]) we get  $y_{in}(\varepsilon) = v_i t d_n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus, a similar argument that led us to the expressions (18) and (24), we find that

$$z_{in} = \frac{d_n \overset{\circ}{v}_i}{\overset{\circ}{t}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (29)$$

or otherwise

$$z_{in} = \frac{(-1)^{n-i} d_n \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{r_s} + \Delta^2 \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{b_k^2} \left( \prod_{s=1}^k r_s \right) \left( \prod_{s=k+1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right]}{d_n^2 \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} + \Delta^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_k^2} \left( \prod_{s=1}^k r_s \right) \left( \prod_{s=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] + \Delta^2 \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} r_s}, \quad (30)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Remark.** It is easy to see that the formula (30) can be “incorporated” in the formula (24), if we extend the last one to the case  $j = n$ .

Summarizing the considerations of the section, namely having the expressions (12),(24) and (28), we get the following statement.

**Lemma 1 [Case A].** For the matrix  $Z = [z_{ij}]_{n \times n}$  defined in (10) we have: if  $n = 1$ , then

$$Z = \left[ \frac{d_1}{d_1^2 + \Delta^2} \right]_{1 \times 1};$$

if  $n \geq 2$ , then:

a) for the indices  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$  the entries  $z_{ij}$  are computed by the formula (24);

b) for the indices  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  and  $i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n$  the entries  $z_{ij}$  are computed by the formula (28).

Now consider the matrix  $H = [h_{ij}]_{n \times l}$ . We introduce the matrix

$$L(\varepsilon)^{-1} B^T \equiv W(\varepsilon) = [w_{ij}(\varepsilon)]_{n \times n}. \quad (31)$$

By the definition (11) of the matrix  $H$  we have

$$h_{ij} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} w_{ij}(\varepsilon), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l. \quad (32)$$

It can be easily seen that the entries of the first  $l - 1$  columns of the matrix  $W(\varepsilon)$  are zero. This implies that the corresponding columns of the matrix  $H$  are also zeros. The entries of the last column of the matrix  $W(\varepsilon)$  are written as follows:

$$w_{il}(\varepsilon) = x_{i1} \Delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since  $x_{i1} = \mu_i v_{1i} t$  (see formula (42) in [2]) and  $v_1 = 1$  (see (9) in [1]), then

$$w_{il}(\varepsilon) = \mu_i t \Delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, by the argument leading us to the expressions (18) and (24), we find that

$$h_{il} = \overset{\circ}{\mu}_i \Delta / \overset{\circ}{t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (33)$$

or otherwise

$$h_{il} = \frac{(-1)^{i+1} \Delta \left[ \prod_{s=i}^{n-1} r_s + d_n^2 \sum_{k=i}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k^2} \left( \prod_{s=i}^{k-1} r_s \right) \left( \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right]}{d_n^2 \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} + \Delta^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_k^2} \left( \prod_{s=1}^k r_s \right) \left( \prod_{s=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_s} \right) \right] + \Delta^2 \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} r_s}, \quad (34)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Having (12) and (34), we get.

**Lemma 2 [Case A].** The matrix  $H = [h_{ij}]_{n \times l}$  defined in (11) satisfies the relations:

if  $n = 1$ , then

$$H = \left[ 0 \dots 0 \frac{\Delta}{d_1^2 + \Delta^2} \right]_{1 \times l},$$

if  $n \geq 2$ , then:

- a)  $h_{ij} = 0$  for the indexes  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l-1$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- b) the entries  $h_{il}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are computed by the formula (34).

Intermediate formulae and relations obtained in the section and in the second part of this work [2] allow us to propose the following numerical algorithm to compute the matrices  $Z$  and  $H$ .

**Algorithm Z, H/CaseA** ( $A, \Delta, n, l \Rightarrow Z, H$ )

If  $n = 1$ , then

$$Z = \left[ \frac{d_1}{d_1^2 + \Delta^2} \right]_{1 \times 1}, \quad H = \left[ 0 \dots 0 \frac{\Delta}{d_1^2 + \Delta^2} \right]_{1 \times l}.$$

If  $n \geq 2$ , then

1. Compute the quantities  $r_s$  (see (20) from [2]):

$$r_s = b_s/d_s, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; \quad r_0 = r_1 = 1.$$

2. Compute the quantities  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  (see (32) from [2]):

$$\alpha_{n-1} = -r_{n-1}; \quad \alpha_i = -r_i \alpha_{i+1}, \quad i = n-2, n-3, \dots, 1.$$

3. Compute the quantities  $\beta_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  (see (38) from [2]):

$$\beta_1 = -r_1; \quad \beta_{i+1} = -r_{i+1} \beta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2.$$

4. Compute the quantities  $\overset{\circ}{\mu}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (see (28) and (30) from [2]):

$$\overset{\circ}{\mu}_n = 1; \quad \overset{\circ}{\mu}_i = -r_i \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{i+1} + \frac{d_n^2}{d_i^2} \frac{1}{\alpha_i}, \quad i = n-1, n-2, \dots, 1.$$

5. Compute the quantities  $\overset{\circ}{v}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (see (34) and (36) from [2]):

$$\overset{\circ}{v}_1 = 1; \quad \overset{\circ}{v}_{i+1} = -\frac{1}{r_i} \overset{\circ}{v}_i + \frac{\Delta^2}{b_i^2} \beta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

6. Compute the quantity  $\overset{\circ}{t}$  (see (40) from [2]):

$$\overset{\circ}{t} = d_n^2 \overset{\circ}{v}_n + \Delta^2 \alpha_1.$$

7. Compute the entries from the upper triangular part of the matrix  $Z$  (see (18)):

for the values  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ :

$$z_{ij} = \overset{\circ}{v}_i (\overset{\circ}{\mu}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{\mu}_{j+1} b_j) / \overset{\circ}{t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, j.$$

8. Compute the entries from the lower triangular part of the matrix  $Z$  (see (27)):

for the values  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ :

$$z_{ij} = \overset{\circ}{\mu}_i (\overset{\circ}{v}_j d_j + \overset{\circ}{v}_{j+1} b_j) / \overset{\circ}{t}, \quad i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n.$$

9. Compute the entries of the last column of the matrix  $Z$  (see (29)):

$$z_{in} = d_n \overset{\circ}{v}_i / \overset{\circ}{t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

10. Compute the entries of the matrix  $H$  (see (33)):

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij} &= 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ h_{il} &= \overset{\circ}{\mu}_i \Delta / \overset{\circ}{t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

**End**

Direct calculations show that the numerical implementation of the algorithm **Z,H/CaseA** requires  $n^2 + O(n)$  arithmetical operations. Thus the algorithm may be considered as an optimal one.

**Case B.** This case implies  $n \geq 2$  and  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1} \neq 0, d_n = 0$  (see [2]).

The difference between the cases A and B consists only in the value of the quantity  $d_n$ . In the first case we have  $d_n \neq 0$ , while in the second one  $d_n = 0$ . So we can use all the formulae and the expressions obtained in the previous section substituting  $d_n = 0$ .

As a consequence of the Lemma 1 we get the following statement.

**L e m m a 3 [Case B].** For the matrix  $Z = [z_{ij}]_{n \times n}$  defined in (10) we have:

a)  $z_{ij} = 0$  for the indices  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$ ;

b) for the indices  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  and  $i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, n$  the entries  $z_{ij}$  are computed by the formula

$$z_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j+1}}{d_j} \prod_{s=j}^{i-1} \frac{1}{r_s}. \quad (35)$$

The computing process of the lower triangular part entries of the matrix  $Z$  can be organized as follows. Consider fixed value of the index  $j$  from the range  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ . For  $i = j + 1$ , from (35) we get

$$z_{j+1j} = \frac{1}{d_j r_j} = \frac{1}{b_j}. \quad (36)$$

Further, for the subsequent values  $i = j + 2, j + 3, \dots, n$ , again due to (35) the following relation holds:

$$z_{ij} = -\frac{z_{i-1j}}{r_{i-1}}. \quad (37)$$

Consider the matrix  $H$ . Setting  $d_n = 0$ , as a consequence of the Lemma 2 we obtain the following statement.

**L e m m a 4 [Case B].** For the matrix  $H = [h_{ij}]_{n \times l}$  defined in (11) we have:

a)  $h_{ij} = 0$  for the indices  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l - 1$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;



b) the entries  $h_{il}$  are computed by the formula

$$h_{il} = \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{\Delta} \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{r_s}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (38)$$

The computation of the last column of the matrix  $H$  can also be carried out by a recurrence relation. If  $i = 1$ , then from (38) we have

$$h_{1l} = \frac{1}{\Delta}. \quad (39)$$

One can easily obtain from (38), that for the values  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$  we have

$$h_{il} = -\frac{h_{i-1l}}{r_{i-1}}. \quad (40)$$

Having the formulae and relations obtained above, we can write the following algorithm to compute the entries of the matrices  $Z$  and  $H$ .

**Algorithm Z,H/CaseB** ( $A, \Delta, n, l \Rightarrow Z, H$ )

1. Compute the quantities  $r_s$  (see (20) from [2]):

$$r_s = b_s/d_s, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; \quad r_0 = r_1 = 1.$$

2. Compute the entries of the upper triangular part of matrix  $Z$  (Lemma 3):

for the values  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ :

$$z_{ij} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, j.$$

3. Compute the entries of the lower triangular part of matrix  $Z$ , see (36), (37):

for the values  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ :

$$z_{j+1j} = 1/b_j; \quad z_{ij} = -z_{i-1j}/r_{i-1}, \quad i = j+2, j+3, \dots, n.$$

4. Compute the entries of the matrix  $H$  (see Lemma 4 and (39), (40)):

$$h_{ij} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l-1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$h_{1l} = 1/\Delta; \quad h_{il} = -h_{i-1l}/r_{i-1}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

**End**

By direct calculations we find that the numerical implementation of the algorithm **Z,H/CaseB** requires  $0.5n^2 + O(n)$  arithmetical operations. Thus, the algorithm may also be considered as an optimal one.

**Case C.** The case implies  $n \geq 1$  and  $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n = 0$  (see [2]).

If  $n = 1$ , the matrices  $Z$  and  $H$  have a very simple view:

$$Z = [0]_{1 \times 1}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{\Delta} \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times l}.$$

It obviously follows from (9), (10) and (11). The formulae for  $n \geq 2$  are derived quite easily, using a technique, by which the cases A and B were examined. Therefore, let us formulate only the final results.

**Lemma 5 [Case C].** For the matrix  $Z = [z_{ij}]_{n \times n}$  defined in (10) we have:

if  $n = 1$ , then  $Z = [0]_{1 \times 1}$ ;

if  $n \geq 2$ , then  $z_{ii-1} = \frac{1}{b_{i-1}}$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , and  $z_{ij} = 0$  in the remaining cases.

**Lemma 6 [Case C].** For the matrix  $H = [h_{ij}]_{n \times l}$  defined in (11) we have:

$h_{1l} = \frac{1}{\Delta}$  and  $h_{ij} = 0$  in the remaining cases.

Due to the simplicity of the expressions for the entries of the matrices  $Z$  and  $H$  there is no need to write a special algorithm. We just point out that the computation of these matrices requires  $n$  arithmetical operations.

**Concluding Remarks.** Summarizing the preliminary results obtained in this paper as well as in previous papers [1, 2], in the next final part of the study we will give definitive solution to the Moore–Penrose inversions problem for singular upper bidiagonal matrices.

*Received 12.01.2016*

#### REFERENCES

1. **Hakopian Yu.R., Aleksanyan S.S.** Moore–Penrose Inverse of Bidiagonal Matrices. I. // Proceedings of the Yerevan State University. Physical and Mathematical Sciences, 2015, № 2, p. 11–20.
2. **Hakopian Yu.R., Aleksanyan S.S.** Moore–Penrose Inverse of Bidiagonal Matrices. II. // Proceedings of the Yerevan State University. Physical and Mathematical Sciences, 2015, № 3, p. 8–16.